An updated census of spectroscopic binary systems in the young associations

Sebastián Zúñiga-Fernández et al.

















Stellar multiplicity is relevant for understanding a broad range of phenomena.

- Supernova rates.
- Single / Binary stars and multiple systems formation.
- The incidence of stable planetary systems.

It is important to understand binaries and stellar multiplets in the space of **masses**, **composition**, **age**, and **orbital properties**, and how evolves with time.



Stellar multiplicity is important for understanding a broad range of phenomena.



Bastian et al. 2010



The binary stars provide a model independent technique to estimate stellar masses.



Nearby Young moving groups (NYMGs)





Figure (Credit: P. Elliott): Spatial distribution of the bonafide member targets in 9 young moving groups. AB Doradus: red circles, Argus: blue stars, β -Pic moving group: green triangles, Carina: purple crosses, Columba: orange circles, ϵ -Cha: red stars, Octans: blue triangles, Tuc-Hor: green crosses and TW Hydrae: purple squares.



★ The young associations offer us one of the best opportunities to study the properties of young stellar and sub-stellar objects thanks to their proximity (< 200 pc) and age (~ 5 - 150 Myr).</p>



















Previously published data and high resolution spectra publicly available, mainly from:

- Fibre-fed Extended Range Échelle Spectrograph (FEROS; R ~ 50,000).
- High Accuracy Radial velocity Planet Searcher (HARPS; R ~ 115,000).
- Ultraviolet and Visual Echelle Spectrograph (UVES; R ~ 40,000; our own programs).
- Gaia DR2 (~ 98% of our sample coverage with 37% of objects with Gaia RV estimate).



Previously published data and high resolution spectra publicly available, mainly from:

- June CCFs for further analysis. Fibre-fed Extended Range Échelle Spectrom (**FEROS**; R ~ 50,000).
- High Accuracy Radial velocities (HARPS; R ~ 115,000.
- 400 RV from literature + GDR2. Ultraviolet and (**UVES**; R ~ 40,
- Gaia DR2 (~ 98% our sample coverage with 37% of objects with Gaia RV estimate).

Cross correlation functions profiles



CORAVEL type mask 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.02 0.04 0.04 High-order features: 0.06 CCF CCF 0.06 Bisector inverse slope 0.08 0.08 RV: 5.3 0.10 0.10 **Bisector slope** Depth: 0.114 Width: 8.2 AD stat: 0.460 (0.15) MJD: 54805.16 0.12 0.12 -150-100-500 50 100 -10-510 15 20 0 5 Curvature Velocity (km/s) Velocity (km/s) -0.80.6 0.00 Profile residual -0.6A-D statistic 0.02 -0.4-0.20.1 0.04 Profile residual 0.0 2 14 Relative depth 0 4 6 0.0 vsin(i) value 0.06 CCH 0.2 $\bar{v}_t - \bar{v}_b = -0.089$ 0.4 0.08 $b_h = -1.563$ $c_h = -0.100$ CCF output example 0.6 0.10 0.8 Best fit: 7 km/s Stretch: 1.1 0.12 1.0 0 10 15 20 4.6 4.8 5.0 5.2 5.4 5.8 -10-55 5.6 Velocity (km/s) Velocity (km/s)





RV variation as a function of rotation











As in Tokovinin et al. 2014, we use the time span of the observations (T), the number of observations (N) and the standard deviation in the RV measurement (σ) to account this effect.











Rotation and age





Summary and remarks



- Out of the 427 objects from the cross-match of our work with the literature, we flagged 68 as potential spectroscopic multiple systems
 (S. Zúñiga-Fernández et al. 2019 in prep.).
- Interestingly the three highest spectroscopic binary fractions are for the three youngest associations (etha-Cha: 0.23, TW Hydrae: 0.20 and β-Pic: 0.23).
- If proven to be a physical result this would imply significant migration of companions beyond ~ 20 Myr.
- Preliminary results show dependence between rotation and age (encounters?, detached systems?, influence of the disk?).
- Future studies on statistics of stellar multiple systems is important for several reason, the major ones probably star and planet formation.
- Binary star are natural benchmark to get empirical data of the masses of pre main sequence stars using SACY sample (**Work in progress!**).



Backup slides

CORAVEL-type cross correlation function







Resulting CCF profiles from three different sources. Significant anti-correlation between theBISandRV indicates the radial velocity jitter is most likely a result of stellar activity (Desort et al. 2007).



Gaia Data Release 2





Cross-match with literature







Ref.	Values	Source	MJD-range				
Estimated from observation range							
Schlieder et al. (2012) Shkolnik et al. (2012) Torres et al. (2006) Lopez-Santiago et al. (2006) Rodriguez et al. (2013) Maldonado et al. (2010) Kiss et al. (2013) Reiners & Basri (2009) Gontcharov (2006)	RV, v sin i RV RV, v sin i RV RV RV RV RV RV RV	Table 3Table 1^a Section 2.2Section 2^b Section 3.3Table 2Section 3.1Section 2.3Table 5	54718-55685 53725-54455 51179-53826 51910-52796 56171-56230 53552-54771 55013-55669 54475-54835 47892-52275				
Exact values for each observation							
Malo et al. (2014) Kraus et al. (2014) Montes et al. (2001) Mochnacki et al. (2002) Bailey et al. (2012) Desidera et al. (2015)	RV, v sin i RV ^c RV RV RV, v sin i RV, v sin i	 	54996-56532 56124-56327 51384-51566 51082-52003 53327-54963 53102-55399				

RV, $v \sin i$. . .

Number of observations - RV std





Number of observations - v sin i std



アレート NPPF MILLENNIUM NUCLEOS FOR Planet FORMATION



Ass.	Ass. ID	Age (Myr)	Age ref.	Dist. (pc)	No. of members
AB Dor	ABD	100-150	2,7	49±29	110
Argus	ARG	40-44	1,7	111±47	77
β-Pic	BPC	21-26	1,3,4,7	43±20	73
Carina	CAR	35-45	1,3,7	97±39	50
Columba	COL	35-42	1,3,7	78±25	79
ϵ -Cha	ECH	5-10	1,5,7	106±9	36
Octans	OCT	30-40	1,6,7	111±42	63
Tuc-Hor	THA	33-45	1,3,7	51±10	187
TW Hydrae	TWA	10-12	1,3,7	56±14	27

References. 1: Torres et al. (2006), 2: da Silva et al. (2009), 3: Bell et al. (2015), 4: Binks and Jeffries (2014), 5: Murphy et al. (2013), 6: Murphy and Lawson (2015), 7: Torres et al. in prep.